

EAST ROCKINGHAM WTE

TAKES AUSTRALIAN ENERGY FROM WASTE FORWARD



A\$511m

waste to energy project in Australia



First waste arising contract structure



300,000 tonne-per-annum energy from waste facility



96% diversion of residual waste from landfi

delivering benefits of the sector across Australia

The fact that Australia's first two utility-scale energy from waste (EfW) projects to achieve financial close are just a few kilometres apart on the outskirts of Perth is no coincidence. It's the product of years of hard work from many different actors whose contributions are already delivering benefits to the development of the sector across Australia. Edward Nicholas, Executive Director with Abu Dhabi-based Tribe Infrastructure Group, one of the developers of the A\$511 million East Rockingham Waste to Energy Project, explains.

> Edward Nicholas Executive Director, Tribe Infrastructure Group





THE NEXT STEP FORWARD FOR EFW IN AUSTRALIA

The East Rockingham WTE project is a 300,000 tonne-per-annum energy from waste facility under construction in the Rockingham Industry Zone in the south of Perth. On completion, the facility will deliver a cost-effective waste treatment solution and a vital source of dispatchable renewable energy, whilst achieving a 96% diversion of residual waste from landfill.

With a capital stack comprising best-in-class sponsors (Masdar Tribe Energy, John Laing, Acciona Concesiones and Hitachi Zosen Inova), market-leading senior lenders (NAB, SMBC, ABN AMRO, KfW-IPEX and Mizuho), the Australian Commonwealth Government (CEFC and ARENA), a leading waste management partner and operator in SUEZ and construction by Acciona and HZI, East Rockingham WTE is already serving as an important catalyst for future EfW development in Australia.

The transaction involved numerous "firsts", chief among which was the "waste arising" contract structure for the critical waste supply agreements with local government authorities, described further later. Equally important was the capital structure innovation harnessed by developers and financiers to bring in a late stage subordinated debt tranche from CEFC and ensure the deal got to close inside calendar 2019.

DRAMATIS PERSONAE AND PROJECT HIGHLIGHTS



Developers Prior to Financial Close	 Hitachi Zosen Inova AG (HZI) New Energy Corporation Pty Ltd (New Energy) 	1 st development deal for HZI
Equity investors From Financial Close A\$128m commitment	 Iribe Intrastructure Group (Tribe) Masdar Tribe Energy (40%) John Laing Investments (40%) Acciona Concesiones (10%) HZI (10%) 	1 st investment in Australia for Masdar
Senior lenders A\$307m 5-year hard mini-perm senior facility	 Structuring Banks & Mandated Lead Arrangers: SMBC and NAB MLAs: ABN AMRO, KfW-IPEX and Mizuho 	1 st Australian bank into an EfW deal
Subordinated lender A\$57.5m structurally subordinated 5-year facility	Clean Energy Finance Corporation (CEFC)	1 st subordinated loan by CEFC
Grant funding A\$18m recoupable grant	Australian Renewable Energy Agency (ARENA)	1 st recoupable grant to an EfW project
Construction	Lump-sum turnkey EPC contract with Acciona, S.A., and HZI	1 st EPC JV together
Operations	 20-year Operations & Maintenance Contract with SUEZ Recycling & Recovery (SUEZ) and HZI 	1 st O&M JV together
Waste supply ~130,000 tpa residual MSW and 65,000 tpa commercial & industrial waste	 20-year exclusive "waste arising" contracts with EMRC and the City of Cockburn. Volume and waste composition risks remain with the Project 10-year put-or-pay contract with SUEZ. Waste composition risk remains with the Project 	1 st "waste arising" contracts banked in Australian EfW
Power offtake	 8-year Power Purchase Agreement with a single industrial offtaker for 90% of power output Balance of electricity may be sold to waste supply customers (SUEZ, Councils) 	1 st Australian EfW project to bank a PPA
Residuals offtake IBAA & APCr	 Agreement with Resource Recovery Solutions to take Incinerator Bottom Ash Aggregate (IBAA) produced on site for blending with their recycled construction & demolition waste products Agreement with SUEZ to dispose of Air Pollution Control residues (APCr) 	1 st Australian EfW project with a captive bottom ash treatment and aggregate production facility
Investment size	A\$511 million (enterprise value)	
Waste treatment capacity	 300,000 tonnes p.a. Approximately 25% of Perth's residual (non-recyclable) waste 	
Waste diverted from landfill	96% diversion rate – 285,000 tonnes p.a. diverted from landfill	
GHG emissions savings	300,000 tonnes p.a. of avoided CO_2 equivalent (equivalent to taking 64,000 cars off the road)	
Renewable energy output	29MW of baseload electricity eligible for renewable energy certificates (enough to power 36,000 homes)	
Significant benefits for the State of Western Australia	Delivering 300+ full-time local jobs during construction and 40-50 full-time jobs for the life of the operation, with opportunity to redeploy workers with transferable skills from carbon-intensive industries	
Economic life	40+ years	



East Rockingham WTE may be the second such project to have reached financial close, but its origins as a pioneer put it first in a number of important ways. The original developer of the project, Perth-based New Energy Corporation, traces its history with the project back to 2013, when it secured a 10-hectare site in the WA Government-owned Rockingham Industry Zone. The site's location approximately 2.5km from the closest residents but only 40km from the centre of Perth was crucial to establishing the social licence credentials for the project in the community from the outset.

Having previously secured environmental approval for a large-scale MSW gasifier in northwest WA in 2013, New Energy was able to secure an EPA permit for EfW in Perth in 2015, followed by a development approval from the host LGA, the City of Rockingham. But the gasification solution that New Energy proposed to implement at that stage didn't meet the Councils' tender requirements. It wasn't until New Energy partnered with Hitachi Zosen Inova and Tribe Infrastructure in late 2016 that a team was formed with the ability to challenge the competition and genuinely deliver an alternative EfW solution for Perth. In HZI, the project had a world-leading thermal treatment technology provider, albeit entering Australia for the first time. In Tribe, the consortium acquired the commercial, technical and financial skills to pull together a bankable project that would attract best-in-class debt and equity providers to invest.

BUT WHY PERTH?

Historically, it's been axiomatic to say that waste volumes increase with populations, and generally at a faster rate than population growth itself. Add in rising disposable incomes and a challenged domestic recycling industry and you have the makings of a booming waste management sector.

In Australia, nowhere has this been more the case than in Western Australia. Since the turn of the 21st Century, Western Australia has enjoyed a consistent trajectory of growth and development, supported not only by mining and natural resources, but also the growth of the tourism, agriculture, education and services sectors in parallel.



For a capital city such as Perth, its modest population of 1.94 million and its relative remoteness from the rest of Australia's major population centres drives a strong culture of self-reliance in public policy and commercial life. And so it has been with the top-down drive to bring change and development to the waste management and resource recovery sector. Starting with the Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Act 2007, the creation of the WA Waste Authority and the development and implementation of the WA Waste Strategy in 2012, the government has been working to turn the tide and deliver better outcomes for the people of Western Australia.

One of the most important policy tools available to governments to drive higher resource recovery rates is landfill levies applied to each tonne of waste disposed of by landfill customers. When it comes to Municipal Solid Waste (**MSW**), the "customer" is generally a local government authority (**LGA**). In Australia, household waste collection is exclusively handled by local municipal councils. Waste management therefore becomes a significant cost, procurement and often revenue line for the 550+ LGAs across Australia. For these LGAs, landfill levies increase the cost to them (and therefore their ratepayers) of disposing of waste to landfill, and therefore incentivise "waste generators" to minimise waste or divert waste to other higher order uses. In the waste hierarchy, EfW is one such higher-order use and therefore waste treated at an EfW facility is not typically subject to landfill levies.

The league tables relating to waste generation were ones that WA didn't want to be leading. But that's where the State Government and local Councils increasingly found themselves, with waste generation rates among the highest in the country, while recycling and resource recovery rates were among the lowest.

Waste disposal & resource recovery by state



Source: Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Strategy 2030, WA Waste Authority, 2019.

Landfill levies have been applied to waste generated in the Perth metropolitan area since 2004, initially having only a modest impact on waste generation, diversion and resource recovery. With the near-doubling of the rate from A\$28/tonne to A\$55/tonne in 2014 and legislated increases to A\$70/tonne by 2019, the economic conditions necessary to support utility-scale projects were starting to fall into place.

Faced with increasing costs of service delivery and pressure to meet diversion targets, Perth's LGAs have been at the forefront of the push to harness energy from waste as one part of improved waste management practices. Through the formation of five Regional Councils, Perth's LGAs have also given themselves the scale and capacity to commit significant volumes of waste in order to make energy from waste a bankable investment proposition. For the Eastern Metropolitan Regional Council (**EMRC**), a union of six LGAs with a combined population of over 350,000, this culminated in a Request for Tender in August 2016 for a 20-year Waste Supply Agreement to send residual MSW to an EfW facility deploying proven technology and meeting best-practice environmental and emissions standards.

The EMRC's decision to adopt EfW provided the catalyst for the development of East Rockingham WTE, a major piece of privately funded enviro-industrial infrastructure, and a landmark proof point in the evolution of the EfW sector in Australia overall.



ASSEMBLING THE CAST FOR A WINNING PERFORMANCE

Agility is a core competency for any greenfield project developer. The longer the gestation period of a project, the greater the agility so required. For East Rockingham WTE, that started with the formation of the development consortium in late 2016 to respond to the EMRC's tender and continued right through until financial close right before Christmas 2019.



As with many projects, the wagon-wheel diagram of project participants at financial close bears little resemblance to versions earlier in the project's life, and East Rockingham WTE is no exception.

In Australia, everyone loves to be first to be second

Miles Mason

Global Head of Business Development, New Energy Corporation

In their own way, this wasn't the first rodeo for any of these parties. But the role they have played has involved them championing multiple "firsts", and it's those firsts that are delivering follow-on benefits to the projects in the early stages of development elsewhere in Australia, especially in Victoria and New South Wales.

EAST ROCKINGHAM WTE PROJECT STRUCTURE





THE GREATEST GIFT: BANKING WASTE ARISING

Of all the "firsts" in the East Rockingham WTE project, the one that will have the greatest impact is the "waste arising" contract structure for the Council Waste Supply Agreements (WSAs). It was a fundamental principle for the EMRC in its August 2016 tender that the Councils not be exposed to waste volume or composition risk. The EMRC was prepared to give exclusivity over the residual waste stream that they collect from their LGA households but was not prepared to entertain guarantees to the Project around the volume or composition of the waste collected.

Their rationale was strong: in order to meet continuingly increasing waste diversion targets, the Councils must be able to implement improvements to their waste management practices over time without fear of being locked in to a put-or-pay contract that would have the effect of penalising Councils for waste not delivered.

From a financial perspective for the Councils, this makes absolute sense. So, too, from an environmental policy perspective. And, perhaps most importantly, it's a critical issue in securing and maintaining a social licence to operate in this fledgling sector in Australia.

With the transfer of this risk to the Project, then, the challenge rested with developers, equity and lenders to bank a revenue stack with important nuances. Funders paid significant attention to their diligence on the Perth waste market, as well as power markets and marginal loss factors. The resulting financing structure recognises and attributes value to those nuances, with bespoke treatment of WSAs with Councils and with SUEZ, the PPA, and the merchant exposure remaining on waste and electricity. While at times this vexed project participants' investment committees and credit committees, they got there in the end. And begrudgingly or otherwise, everyone recognised that the "waste arising" WSA is here to stay in Australian EfW.

IN CONCLUSION

There is no silver bullet in dealing with waste; each part of the industry has an important role to play. EfW has come to Australia much later than elsewhere. And as usual, Australia is putting its own spin on what a bankable project can look like. For those with the stamina and agility to see these projects through, there are opportunities to deliver essential services and infrastructure with environmental and social benefits in a sector with attractive commercial potential.

